arXiv:1404.1822v1 [math.NT] 7 Apr 2014

DETERMINATION OF A TYPE OF PERMUTATION TRINOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS, II

XIANG-DONG HOU

ABSTRACT. Let q be a prime power. We determine all permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the form $a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[\mathtt{x}]$. The subclass of such permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ was determined in a recent paper [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

For a prime power q, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$ is called a *permutation polynomial* (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if it induces a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_q$. In a recent paper [6], all permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the form $f = a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$ were determined. (Note the assumption that $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$.) In fact, [6] gives explicit conditions on $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ that are necessary and sufficient for f to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The motivation for studying this type of trinomials was to solve a related problem about another class of PPs of finite fields; we refer to the reader to [3, 6] for the details.

In the present paper, we consider the same type of trinomials $f = a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$ but with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We find explicit conditions on a and b that are necessary and sufficient for f to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The results appear in Section 2 as Theorems A and B, which cover the odd q and even q cases separately. Thus we have a complete determination of permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the type $a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$.

The proofs of Theorems A and B are given in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. The basic strategy and the general plan for the proofs in the present paper are the same as those of [6]. The necessity of the conditions is obtained through the computation of the power sums $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s$ for suitable s; the sufficiency of the conditions is proved by establishing the uniqueness of the solution x in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the equation $f(x) = y$ with $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. However, certain parts of the proofs in [6] do not work without the assumption that $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The proofs of the present paper rely on some new techniques which allow us to overcome the difficulties that cannot be resolved by simple adaptations of the method of [6]. For example, a p-adic method is used to capture the information of the discriminant of a polynomial lost in characteristic 2. Similar to the situation in [6], the proofs in the present paper are very much dependent on computations. A highlight of the computations is equations (3.23) and (3.25) where mysterious factorizations are found with computer assistance. For the computations that are similar to those of [5, 6], we will be brief and we refer the reader to [5, 6] for the details.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11T55.

Key words and phrases. finite field, permutation polynomial.

* Research partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-12-1-0245.

There are numerous classes of permutation trinomials over finite fields in the literature, some of which have profound connections to other areas. We refer the reader to a recent survey [7] and the references therein. To the author's best knowledge, the results of the present paper are the only instance where a (nontrivial) type of permutation trinomials is completely determined without additional assumptions on the coefficients. It is our hope that the solution of the problem considered here can be a step stone leading to the determination of similar types of permutation trinomials. The trinomial $a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$ can be expressed as $\mathtt{x}h(\mathtt{x}^{q-1})$, where $h=a+b\mathtt{x}+\mathtt{x}^2$. More generally, PPs of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form $\mathtt{x}^rh(\mathtt{x}^{(q-1)/d})$, where $r>0$ and $d\mid q-1$, have been the focus of several studies [1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. It is also our hope that the present paper can provide some insight for future work on this type of PPs.

Through out the paper, the letters $\mathtt{x},\mathtt{z}$ denote indeterminates. The algebraic closure of a field F is denoted by $\overline{F}$.

During the preparation of the present paper, the author was informed by M. Zieve that he found several classes of permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the form $a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$ [16]. His approach, which is entirely different from ours, employs linear fractional functions over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ that map $\mu_{q+1}=\{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}:x^{q+1}=1\}$ to either μ_{q+1} or $\mathbb{F}_q\cup\{\infty\}$. It is a pleasant surprise that the five classes of permutations trinomials in [16, Theorem 1.1], after a suitable parameterization, comprise precisely the PPs in Theorems A and B of the present paper. Therefore, [16] has provided an alternate proof of the sufficiency of the conditions in Theorems A and B.

2. Theorems A and B

The results of the paper are the following two theorems which completely determine the permutation trinomials of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the form $a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$.

Theorem A. *Let $f=a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[\mathtt{x}]$, where q is odd. Then f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if one of the following is satisfied.*

(i) $a=b=0$, $q\equiv 1,3 \pmod 6$.
(ii) $(-a)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}=-1$ *or* 3, $b=0$.
(iii) $ab\neq 0$, $a=b^{1-q}$, $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}$ *is a square of* $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.
(iv) $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}$ *is a square of* $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a=0$.

Theorem B. *Let $f=a\mathtt{x}+b\mathtt{x}^q+\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[\mathtt{x}]$, where q is even. Then f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if one of the following is satisfied.*

(i) $a=b=0$, $q=2^{2k}$.
(ii) $ab\neq 0$, $a=b^{1-q}$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(b^{-1-q})=0$.
(iii) $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, $\frac{a}{b^2}\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(\frac{a}{b^2})=0$, $b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a=0$.

The proofs of Theorems A and B, to be given in Sections 3 and 4 separately, have parallel structures. Moreover, for a considerable portion of both proofs, the arguments and computations are valid for all q (even or odd); the conclusions derived in one proof will be allowed to be used in the other.

3. Proof of Theorem A

3.1. The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})=0$.

We first prove Theorem A under the assumption $ab(a-b^{1-q})=0$.

Case 1. Assume that $a = b = 0$. Then $f = \mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$, which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\gcd(2q-1, q^2-1) = 1$, i.e., $q \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 6$.

Case 2. Assume that $a \neq 0$, $b = 0$.

First assume that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and we show that $(-a)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = -1$ or 3. Let $s = \alpha + \beta q \leq q^2 - 2$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = q - 1$. By (3.27),

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s = -a^s \sum_{2i-2j-\alpha=-q,1} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{-i-qj}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, by Hermite's criterion,

$$\sum_{2i-2j-\alpha=-q,1} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{-i-qj} = 0, \qquad 0 \leq \alpha \leq q-1. \tag{3.2}$$

Letting $\alpha = 1$ in (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{-2j=-q+1} \binom{q-2}{j} a^{-qj} + \sum_{-2j=-q-1,0} \binom{q-2}{j} a^{-1-qj} \\
&= \binom{-2}{\frac{q-1}{2}} a^{-q\frac{q-1}{2}} + \binom{-2}{\frac{q+1}{2}} a^{-1-q\frac{q+1}{2}} + a^{-1} \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \frac{q+1}{2} a^{\frac{-q^2+q}{2}} + (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \frac{q+3}{2} a^{-1-\frac{q^2+q}{2}} + a^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} a^{-\frac{q+3}{2}} \big[(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} a^{\frac{-q^2+1}{2}} (a^{q+1} - 3) + 2a^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Put $\epsilon = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} a^{\frac{-q^2+1}{2}} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then we have

$$a^{q+1} + 2\epsilon a^{\frac{q+1}{2}} - 3 = 0,$$

which gives $a^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = \epsilon$ or -3ϵ. Therefore $\epsilon = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} a^{\frac{q+1}{2}(1-q)} = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$. Hence we have $(-a)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = -1$ or 3.

Now assume that $(-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = -1$ or 3. To prove that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, by Hermite's criterion, it suffices to show that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s = 0$ for all $1 \leq s \leq q^2 - 2$ and that 0 is the only root of f in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. If $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, we have $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s = 0$ by (3.26). Assume $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, i.e., $s = \alpha + \beta q$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = q - 1$. By (3.1), $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s = 0$ unless α is odd. So we also assume that α is odd. Put $t = (-a)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$. If $t = -1$, then $a^{q+1} = 1$. Thus by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
-a^{-s} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s &= \sum_{2i-2j-\alpha=-q,1} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{-i+j} \qquad (a^{-q} = a) \\
&= \sum_{2(\alpha-i)-2j-\alpha=-q,1} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{-(\alpha-i)+j} \\
&= a^{-\alpha} \sum_{i+j=\frac{\alpha-1}{2},\frac{\alpha+q}{2}} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{i+j} \\
&= a^{-\alpha}\left[a^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\binom{q-1}{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} + a^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}\binom{q-1}{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}\right] \\
&= a^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\big[(-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} + a^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}\big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= a^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\big[(-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} - (-1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}\big]\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

If we only assume that $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the above calculation (from the third line) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&- a^{-s}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s\\ &= \sum_{i+j=\frac{\alpha-1}{2},\frac{\alpha+q}{2}} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{q-1-\alpha}{j} a^{-\alpha+i-qj}\\ &= \sum_{i+j=\frac{\alpha-1}{2},\frac{\alpha+q}{2}} \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{j+\alpha}{\alpha}(-1)^j a^{-\alpha+i-qj}\\ &= \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i}{\alpha}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}-i}a^{-\alpha-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}q+(q+1)i}\\ &\quad + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}-i}a^{-\alpha-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}q-\frac{q+1}{2}q+(q+1)i}\\ &= \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i}{\alpha}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(-1)^i a^{-\alpha-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}q-\frac{q+1}{2}}a^{\frac{q+1}{2}(2i+1)}\\ &\quad + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha}(-1)^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}(-1)^i a^{-\alpha-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}q-\frac{q+1}{2}}a^{\frac{q+1}{2}2i}\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}a^{-\alpha-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}-\frac{q+1}{2}}\\ &\quad\cdot\Big[\sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i}{\alpha}(-1)^i t^{2i+1} + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha}(-1)^i t^{2i}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

When $t = 3$, the above sum equals 0 by [4, (3.3)].

It remains to show that 0 is the only root of f in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $f(x) = 0$. Then $a = -x^{2(q-1)}$ and hence $(-a)^{\frac{q+1}{2}} = 1 \neq -1, 3$, which is a contradiction.

Case 3. Assume that $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$. In (3.27) let $\alpha = q-1$ and $\beta = 0$. We have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s = -\sum_{k-1=0}\binom{q-1}{k} b^{q-1-k} = b^{q-2} \neq 0.$$

So f is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Case 4. Assume that $ab \neq 0$, $a = b^{1-q}$. In this case, we show that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $1 - \frac{4a}{b^2} = 1 - 4b^{-(q+1)}$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.

First assume that $1 - 4b^{-(q+1)}$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. To prove that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we assume that $f(x) = y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, and we show that x uniquely determined by y. First consider $y \neq 0$. Note that $y = b^{1-q}x + bx^q + x^{2q-1}$. Put $t = b^{-1}xy = b^{-q}x^2 + x^{q+1} + b^{-1}x^{2q} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since $x = t\frac{b}{y}$, $y = f(t\frac{b}{y}) = tf(\frac{b}{y})$. Thus t is uniquely determined by y and hence x is uniquely determined by y. Now consider $y = 0$. We claim that $x = 0$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $x \neq 0$. Then

$$x^{2(q-1)} + bx^{q-1} + b^{1-q} = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus

$$x^{q-1} = b \cdot \frac{1}{2}\Big(-1 + \sqrt{1 - 4b^{-(q+1)}}\Big), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\sqrt{1-4b^{-(q+1)}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Raising both sides of (3.4) to the power $q-1$ gives $x^{2(1-q)} = b^{q-1}$. Thus $x^{q-1} = \pm b^{\frac{1-q}{2}}$ and (3.3) becomes $2b^{1-q} \pm b^{\frac{3-q}{2}} = 0$, i.e., $b^{\frac{1+q}{2}} = \mp 2$. Then $1 - 4b^{-(q+1)} = 1 - 4 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next assume that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Put $z = -\frac{a^q}{b^{2q}}$. Letting $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = q-1$ in (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{(q-1)q} \\
&= -a^{1-q} \sum_{-j-l=-q} \binom{q-1}{j}\binom{j}{l} a^{-qj} b^{q(j-l)} \\
&= -a^{1-q} \sum_{1 \le l \le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{q-1}{q-l}\binom{q-l}{l} a^{-q(q-l)} b^{q(2-2l)} \\
&= -a^{-q} b^{2q} \sum_{1 \le l \le \frac{q}{2}} (-1)^{q-l} \binom{-l}{l} a^{ql} b^{-2ql} \qquad (3.5) \\
&= -z^{-1} \sum_{1 \le l \le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{-l}{l} z^l \\
&= -z^{-1} \Big[\sum_{0 \le l \le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{-l}{l} z^l - 1 \Big] \\
&= -z^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\big[(1+4z)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} - 1\big] \qquad \text{(by Lemma 3.1).}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $1 + 4z$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Note that since $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $z = -\frac{a}{b^2}$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem A under the assumption $ab(a - b^{1-q}) = 0$.

The following lemma, used in the above proof, is a generalization of [5, Lemma 5.1] in odd characteristics.

Lemma 3.1. *Let q be an odd prime power. Then in $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathtt{x}]$, where $p = \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}_q$, we have*

$$\sum_{0 \le l \le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{-l}{l} \mathtt{x}^l = \frac{1}{2}\big[1 + (1+4\mathtt{x})^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\big]. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For $1 \le l \le \frac{q-1}{2}$, the coefficient of $\mathtt{x}^l$ in the right side of (3.6) equals (in $\mathbb{F}_p$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{2l-1}\binom{\frac{q-1}{2}}{l} = 2^{2l-1}\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{l} = 2^{2l-1}\frac{(-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{3}{2})\cdots(-\frac{2l-1}{2})}{l!} \\
&= \frac{2^{l-1}(-1)(-3)\cdots(-2l+1)}{l!} = \frac{(-l)(-l-1)\cdots(-2l+1)}{l!} = \binom{-l}{l}.
\end{aligned}$$

(In the above, we used the formula $2^{l-1}(-1)(-3)\cdots(-2l+1) = (-l)(-l-1)\cdots(-2l+1)$, which is easily proved by induction.) □

Remark. Lemma 3.1 can also be derived from Lemma 4.1.

3.2. **The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, sufficiency.**

Assume that $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, and $b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a=0$. We show that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. (Recall that q is odd.)

1° We claim that $a+b+1\neq 0$. Otherwise, $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}=(\frac{2}{b}+1)^2$, which is assumed to be a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus $b\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and from $b^2-a^2b^{1-q}-3a=0$, we derive that $a=1=b^{1-q}$, which is a contradiction.

2° We claim that for $x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $f(x)\in(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$ implies $x\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f(x)/(a+b+1)\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $[f(x)/(a+b+1)]^q=f(x)/(a+b+1)$, i.e.,

$$(a+b+1)(ax+bx^q+x^{2q-1})^q=(a+b+1)^q(ax+bx^q+x^{2q-1}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\big[(a+b+1)^qx^{q-1}+(b+1)^{q+1}-a^{q+1}+(a+b+1)x^{1-q}\big](x^q-x)=0.$$

Since $x^q-x\neq 0$, we have

$$(a+b+1)^qx^{2(q-1)}+\big[(b+1)^{q+1}-a^{q+1}\big]x^{q-1}+(a+b+1)=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &=\big[(b+1)^{q+1}-a^{q+1}\big]^2-4(a+b+1)^{q+1}\\ &=\big[(b^q+1)(b+1)-a^{q+1}\big]^2-4(a^q+b^q+1)(a+b+1).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $b^{q-1}=a^{-2}b^2-3a^{-1}$ and $a^{q-1}=b^{2(q-1)}=(a^{-2}b^2-2a^{-1})^2$, we have

(3.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta&=\big[(a^{-2}b^3-3a^{-1}b+1)(b+1)-a^2(a^{-2}b^2-3a^{-1})^2\big]^2\\ &\quad-4\big[a(a^{-2}b^2-3a^{-1})^2+a^{-2}b^3-3a^{-1}b+1\big](a+b+1)\\ &=\frac{(b^2-4a)(-3a+a^2+ab+b^2)^2}{a^4}\qquad\text{(factorization found by computer)}\\ &=\Big(1-\frac{4a}{b^2}\Big)\Big[\frac{b}{a^2}(-3a+a^2+ab+b^2)\Big]^2\\ &=\Big(1-\frac{4a}{b^2}\Big)\Big(b+b\,\frac{b^2-3a}{a^2}+\frac{b^2}{a}\Big)^2\\ &=\Big(1-\frac{4a}{b^2}\Big)\Big(b+b^q+\frac{b^2}{a}\Big)^2,\end{aligned}$$

which is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus by (3.7), $(a+b+1)^qx^{q-1}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore

$$1=\big[(a+b+1)^qx^{q-1}\big]^{q-1}=(a+b+1)^{1-q}x^{2(1-q)},$$

and hence

$$x^{q-1}=\pm(a+b+1)^{\frac{1-q}{2}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.10) gives

$$\pm\big[(b+1)^{q-1}-a^{q+1}\big](a+b+1)^{\frac{1-q}{2}}=-2(a+b+1).$$

Squaring both sides of the above equation, we have

$$\big[(b+1)^{q+1}-a^{q+1}\big]^2=4(a+b+1)^{q+1},$$

which implies $\Delta=0$ by (3.8). Now the fourth line of (3.9) gives $-3a+a^2+ab+b^2=0$, that is,

$$-3+a+b+\frac{b^2}{a}=0. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus $a+b\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $x\notin\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $x^{q-1}=-1$ by (3.10). Now (3.7) becomes

$$(b^q+1)(b+1)-a^{q+1}-2(a+b+1)=0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\Delta=0$, (3.9) gives

$$b^q=-b-\frac{b^2}{a}=-\frac{(a+b)b}{a}. \tag{3.13}$$

Also,

$$a^{q+1}=a^2b^{2(q-1)}=a^2b^{-2}\Big[-\frac{(a+b)b}{a}\Big]^2=(a+b)^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LHS of (3.12)} &=\Big(-b-\frac{b^2}{a}+1\Big)(b+1)-(a+b)^2-2(a+b+1)\\ &=(a+b+1)\Big(-\frac{b^2}{a}-a-b-1\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\frac{b^2}{a}+a+b+1=0. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.15) gives $-3=1$, which is a contradiction.

3° We now prove that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We may assume $b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$. (If $b\in\mathbb{F}_q$, then $a\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and we are done by [6, Theorem A].) Write

$$b^2=c+db,\quad c,d\in\mathbb{F}_q\quad (b+b^q=d,\ b^{q+1}=-c),$$

and

$$\frac{a}{b^2}=e\in\mathbb{F}_q,\quad \text{i.e., } a=eb^2.$$

Then $1-4e$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We have

$$0=b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a=b^2-e^2b^{q+3}-3eb^2=b^2(1+e^2c-3e).$$

Thus $1+e^2c-3e=0$, i.e.,

$$c=3e^{-1}-e^{-2}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $z,w\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy

$$f(z)=w. \tag{3.17}$$

We show that z is uniquely determined by w. If $w\in(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$, by 2°, $z\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then (3.17) gives $z=w/(a+b+1)$. So we assume $w\notin(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$; it follows that $z\notin\mathbb{F}_q$. Eq. (3.17) is equivalent to

$$az^2+bz^{q+1}+z^{2q}=zw. \tag{3.18}$$

Write

$$\begin{cases}w=u+vb,\\ z=x+yb,\end{cases}$$

where $u,x\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $v,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We have

$$az^2+bz^{q+1}+z^{2q}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= e(c+db)(x+yb)^2 + b(x+yb)(x+yb^q) + (x+yb^q)^2\\
&= e(c+db)(x+yb)^2 + b(x^2+dxy-cy^2) + \big[x+y(d-b)\big]^2\\
&= \cdots \quad \text{(using the relation } b^2 = c+db)\\
&= (ce+1)x^2 + (2cde+2d)xy + (c^2e+cd^2e+c+d^2)y^2\\
&\quad + \big[(de+1)x^2 + (2ce+2d^2e+d-2)xy + (2cde+d^3e-c-d)y^2\big]b.
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$zw = (x+yb)(u+vb) = ux + cvy + \big[vx + (u+dv)y\big]b.$$

Thus (3.18) is equivalent to

(3.19)
$$
\begin{cases}
(ce+1)x^2 + (2cde+2d)xy + (c^2e+cd^2e+c+d^2)y^2 = ux + cvy,\\
(de+1)x^2 + (2ce+2d^2e+d-2)xy + (2cde+d^3e-c-d)y^2 = vx + (u+dv)y.
\end{cases}
$$

From (3.19) we have

(3.20)
$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[(ce+1)x^2 + (2cde+2d)xy + (c^2e+cd^2e+c+d^2)y^2\big]\big[vx + (u+dv)y\big]\\
&- \big[(de+1)x^2 + (2ce+2d^2e+d-2)xy + (2cde+d^3e-c-d)y^2\big](ux+cvy) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $s = x/y$. Then (3.20) can be written as

$$g(s) = 0,$$

where

(3.21)
$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\mathtt{x}) = &(-u - deu + v + cev)\mathtt{x}^3\\
&+ (3u - du - ceu - 2d^2eu - cv + 3dv + 2cdev)\mathtt{x}^2\\
&+ (cu + 3du - d^3eu + 3cv - cdv + 3d^2v - c^2ev + cd^2ev)\mathtt{x}\\
&+ cu + d^2u + c^2eu + cd^2eu + c^2v + 2cdv + d^3v - c^2dev \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}].
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to show that g has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$. (If $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$, where $z_1 = x_1 + y_1 b$, $z_2 = x_2 + y_2 b$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then the uniqueness of the root of g in $\mathbb{F}_q$ implies $\frac{x_1}{y_1} = \frac{x_2}{y_2}$, that is, $z_2 = tz_1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = f(tz_1) = tf(z_1)$ and hence $t = 1$.)

We claim that $-u - deu + v + cev \neq 0$. Otherwise, we have

(3.22)
$$
\begin{aligned}
&w(a+b+1)^q\\
&= (u+vb)(a^q + b^q + 1)\\
&= (u+vb)(eb^{2q} + b^q + 1)\\
&= (u+vb)\big[e(d-b)^2 + d - b + 1\big]\\
&= u + du + ceu + d^2eu - cv - cdev + (-u - deu + v + cev)b \in \mathbb{F}_q.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by 2°, $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which is contrary to our assumption.

Using the relation $c = 3e^{-1} - e^{-2}$ ((3.16)), we find (with computer assistance) that the discriminant of g is given by

(3.23)
$$D(g) = \frac{(4c+d^2)(1-4e)}{(u+dev-v-cev)^4 e^8}\Theta^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta =&(-2e^2+9e^3-3de^3+9de^4+d^3e^5)u^2\\ &+(-4e+24e^2-2de^2v-36e^3+9de^3+2d^2e^3-6d^2e^4)uv\\ &+(-2+15e+de-27e^2-6de^2+9de^3)v^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, writing $g'=a_2\mathtt{x}^2+a_1\mathtt{x}+a_0$, we have

$$a_1^2-4a_2a_0=\frac{1}{e^4}(1+de)\Theta \qquad \text{(another surprise)}.\tag{3.25}$$

In (3.23), $1-4e$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $4c+d^2$ is a nonsquare of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (otherwise $b\in\mathbb{F}_q$). So if $\Theta\neq 0$, then $D(g)$ is a nonsquare of $\mathbb{F}_q$. It follows that g has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

If $\Theta=0$, then $D(g)=0$. First assume $3\nmid q$. Then $\deg g'=2$ and $D(g')=0$. Hence $g'=3\epsilon(\mathtt{x}-r)^2$, where $\epsilon=-u-deu+v+cev\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $r\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Since $D(g)=0$, we have $\gcd(g,g')\neq 1$, which forces $g=\epsilon(\mathtt{x}-r)^3$. So g has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Next assume $3\mid q$. Then $g'=0\cdot\mathtt{x}^2+a_1\mathtt{x}+a_0$, $a_0,a_1\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $0=a_1^2-4\cdot 0\cdot a_0=a_1^2$, we have $g'=a_0$. Since $D(g)=0$, g has a multiple r. Then $(\mathtt{x}-r)^2\mid g$ and hence $\mathtt{x}-r\mid g'$. Since g' is a constant, $g'=0$. It follows that $g=\epsilon\mathtt{x}^3+\gamma$ ($\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_q$), which has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

This completes the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem A under the assumption $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$.

3.3. The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, necessity.

Let $s=\alpha+\beta q>0$, where $0\le\alpha,\beta\le q-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s\\ =&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} x^s(a+bx^{q-1}+x^{2(q-1)})^{\alpha+\beta q}\\ =&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} x^s(a+bx^{q-1}+x^{2(q-1)})^{\alpha}(a^q+b^qx^{1-q}+x^{2(1-q)})^{\beta}\\ =&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} x^s\sum_{i,j,k,l}\binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{i}{k}a^{\alpha-i}(bx^{q-1})^{i-k}(x^{2(q-1)})^k\\ &\cdot\binom{\beta}{j}\binom{j}{l}(a^q)^{\beta-j}(b^qx^{1-q})^{j-l}(x^{2(1-q)})^l\\ =&\sum_{i,j,k,l}\binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{i}{k}\binom{\beta}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{\alpha-i+q(\beta-j)}b^{i-k+q(j-l)}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*}x^{s+(q-1)(i+k-j-l)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Assume $\alpha+\beta=q-1$. Then (3.26) becomes

(3.27)
$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^s &= \sum_{i,j,k,l}\binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{i}{k}\binom{\beta}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{s-i-qj}b^{i-k+q(j-l)}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*}x^{(q-1)(-\alpha-1+i-j-l)}\\ &= -\sum_{i+k-j-\alpha-1\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,q+1)}\binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{i}{k}\binom{\beta}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{s-i-qj}b^{i-k+q(j-l)}\\ &= -\sum_{i+k-j-\alpha-1=-(q+1),0}\binom{\alpha}{i}\binom{i}{k}\binom{\beta}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{s-i-qj}b^{i-k+q(j-l)}.\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma holds for all q (even or odd). Eq. (3.28) is used here for the proof of Theorem A; Eq. (3.29) will be used in the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $a,b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ are such that $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+\frac{a}{b^2}$ has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{1+(q-2)q}=\frac{2(b^{1-q}-a)(b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a)}{a^2(b^2-4a)}, \tag{3.28}$$

and for $q>2$,

(3.29)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{2+(q-3)q}\\ &=\frac{3b^{8-7q}(b^{1-q}-a)(b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a)(9a-2b^2+a^3b^{2q-2}-6a^2b^{q-1}+ab^{q+1})}{a^4(b^2-4a)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The computations for (3.28) and (3.29) are similar to those in [6, §3.3 and Appendix A]. Therefore we only give a sketch here.

Put $z=-a/b^2\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Letting $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=q-2$ in (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{1+(q-2)q} = -a^{1+(q-2)q}\Bigg[&\sum_{-j-l-1=-q}\binom{q-2}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{-qj}b^{q(j-l)}\\ &+\sum_{-j-l=-q}\binom{q-2}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{-1-qj}b^{1+q(j-l)}\\ &+\sum_{-j-l+1=-q,1}\binom{q-2}{j}\binom{j}{l}a^{-1-qj}b^{q(j-l)}\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

The right side of the above can be expressed in terms of

$$\sum_{0\le l\le\frac{q-u}{2}}\binom{l+v}{v}\binom{-l}{l+u}z^l,$$

where $u, v \geq 0$ are small integers. (In this case $0 \leq u, v \leq 1$.) We find that

(3.30)
$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{1+(q-2)q} = &-(a^{q-1}b^{1-q}+a^{-2}b^{1-q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}(l+1)\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l\\ &+2a^{-2}b^{1-q}\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l-a^{-2}b^2\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}(l+1)\binom{-l}{l}z^l\\ &+2a^{-2}b^2\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}\binom{-l}{l}z^l-a^{-2}b^2-a^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

(Cf. [6, §3.3] for the details.) Letting $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = q-3$ ($q > 2$) in (3.27), in the same way we arrive at

(3.31)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{2+(q-3)q} = -a^{3-3q}\\ &\cdot\Bigg[(-b^{2q-1}+4a^{-1}b^q-2a^{-2}b-a^{-3}b^3-a^{-4}b^{3-2q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}\binom{l+2}{2}\binom{-l}{l}z^l\\ &+(2b^{2q-1}-8a^{-1}b^q+6a^{-2}b+3a^{-3}b^3-a^{-4}b^{3-2q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}(l+1)\binom{-l}{l}z^l\\ &+(-b^{2q-1}+4a^{-1}b^q-6a^{-2}b-3a^{-3}b^3+5a^{-4}b^{3-2q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}\binom{-l}{l}z^l\\ &-2a^{-3}b^{2-q}\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}\binom{l+2}{2}\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l\\ &+(6a^{-3}b^{2-q}-3a^{-4}b^{3-2q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}(l+1)\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l\\ &+(-6a^{-3}b^{2-q}+6a^{-4}b^{3-2q})\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l\\ &+4a^{-2}b+a^{-3}b^3-3a^{-3}b^{2-q}-3a^{-4}b^{3-2q}\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

(Cf. [6, Appendix A] for the details.) It is known that

$$\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}\binom{-l}{l}z^l = 1 \qquad \text{([5, Lemma 5.1])}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}(l+1)\binom{-l}{l}z^l = \frac{1+3z}{1+4z} \qquad \text{([6, Lemma 3.2])}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q}{2}}\binom{l+2}{2}\binom{-l}{l}z^l = \frac{1+6z+11z^2}{(1+4z)^2},\quad q>2 \qquad \text{([6, Lemma 4.2])}, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\sum_{0\leq l\leq\frac{q-1}{2}}\binom{-l}{l+1}z^l = 1 \qquad \text{([6, Lemma 3.3])}, \tag{3.35}$$

$$\sum_{0\le l\le \frac{q-1}{2}} (l+1)\binom{-l}{l+1} z^l = \frac{2z}{1+4z} \qquad \text{([6, Lemma 3.3])}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\sum_{0\le l\le \frac{q-1}{2}} \binom{l+2}{2}\binom{-l}{l+1} z^l = \frac{3z(1+2z)}{(1+4z)^2}, \quad q>2 \qquad \text{([6, Lemma A.1])}. \tag{3.37}$$

Making the substitutions (3.32) – (3.37) in (3.30) and (3.31) produces (3.28) and (3.29); again, confer [6, §3.3 and Appendix A] for the details. □

Now assume that $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$ and f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We show that $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}$ is a nonsquare of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a=0$. That $1-\frac{4a}{b^2}$ is a square of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ follows from (3.5). Then $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+\frac{a}{b^2}$ has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. It follows from (3.28) that $b^2-a^2b^{q-1}-3a=0$.

4. Proof of Theorem B

4.1. The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})=0$.

We first prove Theorem B under the assumption $ab(a-b^{1-q})=0$.

Case 1. Assume that $a=b=0$. Then $f=\mathtt{x}^{2q-1}$, which is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $q=2^{2k}$.

Case 2. Assume that $a\neq 0$, $b=0$. By (3.1) with $s=0+(q-1)q$, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{(q-1)q} = a^s \sum_{-2j=-q} \binom{q-1}{j} a^{-qj} = a^s \binom{q-1}{\frac{q}{2}} a^{-\frac{q^2}{2}} = a^{\frac{q^2}{2}-q} \neq 0.$$

Therefore f is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Case 3. Assume that $a=0$, $b\neq 0$. Let $\alpha=q-1$ and $\beta=0$ in (3.27), we have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}} f(x)^{q-1} = \sum_{k-1=0} \binom{q-1}{k} b^{q-1-k} = b^{q-2} \neq 0.$$

So f is not a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Case 4. Assume that $ab\neq 0$, $a=b^{1-q}$. Then $\frac{a}{b^2}=\frac{b^{1-q}}{b^2}=b^{-1-q}$. We show that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(b^{-1-q})=0$. The "only if" part is proved in Section 4.3 after Lemma 4.1. Now assume $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(b^{-1-q})=0$. Let $x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfying $f(x)=y$. We show that x is uniquely determined by y.

First assume $y\neq 0$. We have $y=f(x)=b^{1-q}x+bx^q+x^{2q-1}$. Let $t=b^{-1}xy=b^{-q}x^2+x^{q+1}+b^{-1}x^{2q}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $x=t\frac{b}{y}$, we have $tf(\frac{b}{y})=y$. Hence t is uniquely determined by y and so is x.

Next assume $y=0$. We claim that $x=0$. Otherwise we have

$$x^{2(q-1)}+bx^{q-1}+b^{1-q}=0, \tag{4.1}$$

i.e.,

$$\Big(\frac{x^{q-1}}{b}\Big)^2+\frac{x^{q-1}}{b}+b^{-1-q}=0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(b^{-1-q})=0$, we have $\frac{x^{q-1}}{b}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $(\frac{x^{q-1}}{b})^{q-1}=1$, which gives $x^{2(q-1)}=b^{1-q}$. Then (4.1) implies $bx^{1-q}=0$, which is a contradiction.

4.2. **The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, sufficiency.**

Assume that $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$, $\frac{a}{b^2}\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(\frac{a}{b^2})=0$, and $b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a=0$. We show that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

1° We claim that $a+b+1\neq 0$. Otherwise, we have

$$\Big(\frac{1}{b}\Big)^2+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{a}{b^2}=0.$$

Since $\frac{a}{b^2}\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(\frac{a}{b^2})=0$, we have $\frac{1}{b}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a=0$ gives $a=1=b^{1-q}$, which is a contradiction.

2° We may assume $b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$. (If $b\in\mathbb{F}_q$, then $a\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and we are done by [6, Theorem B].) Write

$$b^2=c+db,$$

where $d=b+b^q\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and $c=b^{q+1}\in\mathbb{F}_q$, and put $e=\frac{a}{b^2}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a=0$ gives

$$c=e^{-1}+e^{-2}. \tag{4.2}$$

3° We claim that for $z\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $f(z)\in(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$ implies $z\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $z\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f(z)=w\in(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$. Write $z=x+yb$ and $w=u+vb$, where $x,y,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $y\neq 0$. Then by (3.22), which also holds for even q, we have

$$(de+1)u=(ce+1)v, \tag{4.3}$$

which by (4.2) can be written as

$$v=e(de+1)u.$$

First assume $u\neq 0$. The relation (4.3) allows us to eliminate the x^2 and x terms in (3.19); the result is

$$v(c^2e+cd^2e+c+d^2)y^2+udxy+u(d^3e+c+d)y^2=(cv^2+u^2+duv)y. \tag{4.4}$$

Use the relations $v=e(de+1)u$ and $c=e^{-1}+e^{-2}$ in (4.4) and cancel uy. We have

$$x=(d+e^{-1})y+d^{-1}e(1+d+d^2e^2)u. \tag{4.5}$$

Making the substitution (4.5) in the first equation of (3.19) gives

$$y^2+e^2(de+1)uy+\frac{e^3u^2}{d^2}\big[d(de+1)^2+(de+1)^4\big]=0. \tag{4.6}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big[\frac{e^3u^2[d(de+1)^2+(de+1)^4]}{d^2[e^2(de+1)u]^2}\Big]\\
&=\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big[\frac{d+d^2e^2+1}{d^2e}\Big]=\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big[e+\frac{1}{de}+\frac{1}{d^2e}\Big]\\
&=\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big[\frac{1}{d^2e^2}+\frac{1}{d^2e}\Big]=\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big(\frac{e^{-2}+e^{-1}}{d^2}\Big)=\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}\Big(\frac{c}{d^2}\Big)\\
&=1\qquad(\text{since } b\notin\mathbb{F}_q).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.6), $y\notin\mathbb{F}_q$, which is a contradiction.

Next assume $u=0$. We have

$$a+bz^{q-1}+z^{2(q-1)}=0, \tag{4.7}$$

i.e.,

$$\Big(\frac{z^{q-1}}{b}\Big)^2+\frac{z^{q-1}}{b}+\frac{a}{b^2}=0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(\frac{a}{b^2})=0$, we have $\frac{z^{q-1}}{b}\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $(\frac{z^{q-1}}{b})^{q-1}=1$, which gives $z^{2(1-q)}=b^{q-1}$. By (4.7), $a^2+b^2z^{2(q-1)}+z^{4(q-1)}=0$, i.e.,

$$a^2z^{4(1-q)}+b^2z^{2(1-q)}+1=0.$$

Since $z^{2(1-q)}=b^{q-1}=\frac{b^2+a}{a^2}$, we have

$$a^2\Big(\frac{b^2+a}{a^2}\Big)^2+b^2\cdot\frac{b^2+a}{a^2}+1=0,$$

which gives $\frac{b^2}{a}=0$, a contradiction.

4° Let $z,w\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $f(z)=w$. We show that z is uniquely determined by w. Write $z=x+yb$ and $w=u+vb$, where $x,y,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q$ satisfy (3.19).

If $u(de+1)=v(ce+1)$, by (3.22), $w\in(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$. By 3°, $z\in\mathbb{F}_q$, and hence $z=w/(a+b+1)$, which is unique. Therefore we assume $u(de+1)\neq v(ce+1)$. It follows from (3.22) that $w\notin(a+b+1)\mathbb{F}_q$ and hence $z\notin\mathbb{F}_q$. The polynomial g in (3.21) is cubic. It suffices to show that g has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$. (See the explanation following (3.21).)

Case 1. Assume to the contrary that g has three distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_2$ denote the field of 2-adic numbers. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$ be the unramified extension with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\mathfrak{o}$ be the ring of integers of F. Lift $d,e,u,v\in\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\widetilde{d},\widetilde{e},\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v}\in\mathfrak{o}$ and put $\widetilde{c}=3\widetilde{e}^{-1}-\widetilde{e}^{-2}$. Then $\widetilde{c}\in\mathfrak{o}$ since $e\neq0$. Let $\widetilde{g}\in\mathfrak{o}[\mathtt{x}]$ be the resulting lift of g. By Hensel's lemma [8, Theorem 4.4.2], $\widetilde{g}$ splits with distinct roots in $\mathfrak{o}$. Thus the discriminant $D(\widetilde{g})$ of $\widetilde{g}$ is a square of $\mathfrak{o}\setminus\{0\}$. The calculation of (3.23), which does not depend on the field, still holds for $D(\widetilde{g})$. Thus we have

$$D(\widetilde{g})=\frac{(4\widetilde{c}+\widetilde{d}^{\,2})(1-4\widetilde{e})}{(\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{d}\,\widetilde{e}\,\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}-\widetilde{c}\,\widetilde{e}\,\widetilde{v})^4\widetilde{e}^{\,8}}\widetilde{\Theta}^2, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\widetilde{\Theta}$ is given by (3.24) with d,e,u,v replaced by $\widetilde{d},\widetilde{e},\widetilde{u},\widetilde{v}$, respectively. We claim that $1-4\widetilde{e}$ is a square of $\mathfrak{o}\setminus\{0\}$. Since $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+e\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$ is reducible with distinct roots, $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+\widetilde{e}\in\mathfrak{o}[\mathtt{x}]$ is reducible with distinct roots in $\mathfrak{o}$ (Hensel's lemma). Hence $1-4\widetilde{e}$ is a square of $\mathfrak{o}\setminus\{0\}$. It follows from (4.8) that $4\widetilde{c}+\widetilde{d}^2$ is a square of $\mathfrak{o}\setminus\{0\}$. Therefore $\mathtt{x}^2-\widetilde{d}\mathtt{x}-\widetilde{c}\in F[\mathtt{x}]$ is reducible; its roots are in $\mathfrak{o}$ since $\mathfrak{o}$ is integrally closed in F. Thus $\mathtt{x}^2-d\mathtt{x}-c\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$ is reducible, which implies $b\in\mathbb{F}_q$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that g has a multiple root in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then $D(g)=0$, which implies $\Theta=0$ by (3.23). We have $\deg g'=2$ and by (3.25), $D(g')=0$. Therefore $g=\epsilon(\mathtt{x}-r)^3$ for some $\epsilon\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $r\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. So g has at most one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

4.3. The case $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq0$, necessity.

Lemma 4.1. *Let q be any prime power. Let $\mathtt{z}$ be a transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_p$ ($p=\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F}_q$) and write $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}-\mathtt{z}=(\mathtt{x}-r_1)(\mathtt{x}-r_2)$, $r_1,r_2\in\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(\mathtt{z})}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{0\le l\le\frac{q}{2}}\binom{-l}{l}\mathtt{z}^l=-\frac{r_1^{q+1}-r_2^{q+1}}{r_1-r_2}.$$

Proof. We denote the constant term of a Laurent series in $\mathtt{x}$ by ct( ). By the computation in the proof of [5, Lemma 5.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0\le l\le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{-l}{l}\mathtt{z}^l &= \mathrm{ct}\Big[\frac{-\mathtt{z}^{q+1}}{\mathtt{x}^q}\frac{1}{(\mathtt{x}-r_1)(\mathtt{x}-r_2)}\Big]\\ &= \mathrm{ct}\Big[\frac{\mathtt{z}^{q+1}}{\mathtt{x}^q}\frac{1}{r_1-r_2}\Big(\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{1}{1-\frac{\mathtt{x}}{r_1}}-\frac{1}{r_2}\frac{1}{1-\frac{\mathtt{x}}{r_2}}\Big)\Big]\\ &= \frac{\mathtt{z}^{q+1}}{r_1-r_2}\Big(\frac{1}{r_1^{q+1}}-\frac{1}{r_2^{q+1}}\Big)\\ &= \frac{-\mathtt{z}^{q+1}}{(r_1r_2)^{q+1}}\cdot\frac{r_1^{q+1}-r_2^{q+1}}{r_1-r_2}\\ &= -\frac{r_1^{q+1}-r_2^{q+1}}{r_1-r_2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Assume that $ab(a-b^{1-q})\neq 0$ and that f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We show that $\frac{a}{b^2}\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(\frac{a}{b^2})=0$ and $b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a=0$.

Let $z=\frac{a^q}{b^{2q}}$. By (3.5) we have

$$\sum_{0\le l\le \frac{q}{2}} \binom{-l}{l} z^l = 1. \tag{4.9}$$

Write $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+z=(\mathtt{x}+r_1)(\mathtt{x}+r_2)$, $r_1,r_2\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. It follows from (4.9) and Lemma 4.1 that

$$\frac{r_1^{q+1}+r_2^{q+1}}{r_1+r_2}=1.$$

Since $r_1+r_2=1$, we have

$$1=r_1^{q+1}+r_2^{q+1}=r_1^{q+1}+(r_1+1)^{q+1}=r_1+r_1^q+1.$$

So $r_1+r_1^q=0$, i.e., $r_1\in\mathbb{F}_q$. It follows that $r_2\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and $z\in\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $z=\frac{a^q}{b^{2q}}=\frac{a}{b^2}$. Since $\mathtt{x}^2+\mathtt{x}+z\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{x}]$ is reducible, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{q/2}(z)=0$.

By (3.29), we have

$$(b^2+a^2b^{q-1}+a)(1+a^2b^{2q-2}+b^{q+1})=0.$$

It remains to show that $1+a^2b^{2q-2}+b^{q+1}\neq 0$. Assume to the contrary that $1+a^2b^{2q-2}+b^{q+1}=0$. Then we have

$$1+ab^{q-1}+b^{\frac{q+1}{2}}=0,$$

i.e.,

$$a+b\cdot b^{\frac{1}{2}(1-q)}+b^{1-q}=0.$$

(Note that $b^{\frac{1}{2}}\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is well defined since q is even.) Then $f(b^{-\frac{1}{2}})=0$, which is a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem B is now complete.

References

[1] A. Akbary and Q. Wang, *On polynomials of the form $x^r f(x^{(q-1)/l})$*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2007, Art. ID 23408, 7 pp.

[2] A. Akbary, D. Ghiocab, Q. Wang, *On constructing permutations of finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 51 – 67.

[3] N. Fernando, X. Hou, S. D. Lappano, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields, II*, Finite Fields Appl. **22** (2013), 122 – 158.

[4] X. Hou, *A class of permutation binomials over finite fields*, J. Number Theory **133** (2013), 3549 – 3558.

[5] X. Hou, *A class of permutation trinomials over finite fields*, Acta Arith. **162** (2014), 51 – 64.

[6] X. Hou, *Determination of a type of permutation trinomials over finite fields*, `arXiv:1309.3530`, 2013.

[7] X. Hou, *A survey of permutation binomials and trinomials over finite fields*, in: Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Finite Fields and Their Applications, Magdeburg, Germany, July 2013, to appear.

[8] H. Koch, *Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[9] J. E. Marcos, *Specific permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 105 – 112.

[10] Y. H. Park and J. B. Lee, *Permutation polynomials and group permutation polynomials*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **63** (2001), 67 – 74.

[11] D. Wan and R. Lidl, *Permutation polynomials of the form $x^r f(x^{(q-1)/d})$ and their group structure*, Monatsh. Math. **112** (1991), 149 – 163.

[12] Q. Wang, *Cyclotomic mapping permutation polynomials over finite fields*, in *Sequences, Subsequences, and Consequences*, S.W. Golomb, G. Gong, T. Helleseth, H.-Y. Song, (Eds.), pp. 119 – 128, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 4893, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[13] M. E. Zieve, *Some families of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **4** (2008), 851 – 857.

[14] M. E. Zieve, *On some permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 2209 – 2216.

[15] M. E. Zieve, *Permutation polynomials on $\mathbb{F}_q$ induced from Rédei function bijections on subgroups of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$*, `arXiv:1310.0776`, 2013.

[16] M. E. Zieve, *Permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ induced from novel permutations of the $(q+1)$-th roots of unity*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620

E-mail address: `xhou@usf.edu`